

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	4	-0.05	-0.20
Inevitable negative visual impact	4	-0.05	-0.20
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	8	-0.15	-1.20
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	1	-0.30	-0.30
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	7	0.30	2.10
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	3	0.05	0.15
Adjacent to existing built area	8	0.05	0.40
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	7	0.10	0.70
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	5	0.20	1.00
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	4	0.30	1.20
Inherent site-specific obstacle	8	0.10	0.80
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	8	0.70	5.60
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>10.70</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	2	-0.05	-0.10
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	1	-0.15	-0.15
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	2	-0.30	-0.60
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	5	0.30	1.50
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	7	0.05	0.35
Adjacent to existing built area	8	0.05	0.40
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	7	0.10	0.70
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	7	0.20	1.40
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	8	0.30	2.40
Inherent site-specific obstacle	10	0.10	1.00
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	8	0.70	5.60
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>13.10</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	2	-0.05	-0.10
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	1	-0.15	-0.15
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	2	-0.30	-0.60
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	8	0.30	2.40
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	9	0.05	0.45
Adjacent to existing built area	10	0.05	0.50
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	7	0.10	0.70
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	10	0.20	2.00
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	10	0.30	3.00
Inherent site-specific obstacle	10	0.10	1.00
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	8	0.70	5.60
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>15.40</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	3	-0.05	-0.15
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	1	-0.15	-0.15
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	5	-0.30	-1.50
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	6	0.30	1.80
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	9	0.05	0.45
Adjacent to existing built area	7	0.05	0.35
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	7	0.10	0.70
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	5	0.20	1.00
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	5	0.30	1.50
Inherent site-specific obstacle	10	0.10	1.00
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	6	0.70	4.20
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>9.80</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	4	-0.05	-0.20
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	1	-0.15	-0.15
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	5	-0.30	-1.50
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	5	0.30	1.50
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	9	0.05	0.45
Adjacent to existing built area	4	0.05	0.20
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	5	0.10	0.50
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	3	0.20	0.60
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	4	0.30	1.20
Inherent site-specific obstacle	9	0.10	0.90
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	6	0.70	4.20
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.30</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	5	-0.05	-0.25
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	1	-0.15	-0.15
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	5	-0.30	-1.50
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	3	0.30	0.90
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	9	0.05	0.45
Adjacent to existing built area	2	0.05	0.10
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	3	0.10	0.30
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	1	-0.30	-0.30
Urban structural integration ability	2	0.20	0.40
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	2	0.30	0.60
Inherent site-specific obstacle	1	0.10	0.10
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	5	0.70	3.50
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>5.05</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	9	-0.05	-0.45
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	8	-0.15	-1.20
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	8	-0.30	-2.40
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	8	0.30	2.40
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	10	0.05	0.50
Adjacent to existing built area	10	0.05	0.50
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	5	0.10	0.50
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	2	-0.30	-0.60
Urban structural integration ability	2	0.20	0.40
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	5	0.30	1.50
Inherent site-specific obstacle	5	0.10	0.50
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	6	0.70	4.20
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.75</b>

**Model to gauge the desirability of incorporating a specific parcel within the urban edge**

	<b>Score (1-10)</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Weighted score</b>
<b>HERITAGE/NATURAL ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Inevitable damage to prominent landform and character areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable negative visual impact	9	-0.05	-0.45
Inevitable damage to cultural/heritage resource areas	1	-0.05	-0.05
Inevitable damage to conservation sites/ecological resources	8	-0.15	-1.20
<b>AGRICULTURAL POTENTIAL</b>			
Inevitable damage to potential high-intensity agriculture	8	-0.30	-2.40
<b>BUILT ENVIRONMENT</b>			
Services infrastructure in place (capacity and reach)	8	0.30	2.40
Within reach of higher order roads, access routes, transport infrastructure	8	0.05	0.40
Adjacent to existing built area	9	0.05	0.45
Ability to accommodate a specific urban land-use category (compared to other vacant land)	5	0.10	0.50
Contains informal settlements	10	0.10	1.00
Inevitable disaster risk	2	-0.30	-0.60
Urban structural integration ability	2	0.20	0.40
Natural absorption area/ will densify existing city or town	3	0.30	0.90
Inherent site-specific obstacle	8	0.10	0.80
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO ECONOMIC BASE</b>	6	0.70	4.20
		<b>1.00</b>	<b>6.30</b>

farm 2702	10.70
farm 116	13.10
farm 3317	15.40
farm 118	9.80
farm 464	8.30
farm 458	5.05
farm 26.184	6.75
farm rem.36.184	6.30

